

LONG TERM CARE COORDINATING COUNCIL (LTCCC)

Guiding the development of an integrated network of home, community-based, and institutional long term care services for older adults and adults with disabilities.

www.ltccsf.org

DATE: THURSDAY, July 13, 2017 **TIME:** 1:00 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.

LOCATION: 1 SOUTH VAN NESS AVENUE / MARKET
2ND FLOOR, ATRIUM CONFERENCE ROOM

Present: Akiko Takeshita, Anne Romero, Ana Ayala, Anne Quaintance, Austin Ord, Bernadette Navarro-Simeon, Benson Nadell, Bill Hirsh, Cathy Davis, Cathy Spensley, Dan Kaplan, Jacy Cohen, Jennifer Walsh, Jessica Lehman, Joanna Fraguli, Kelly Dearman, Kelly Hiramoto, Laura Liesem, Margaret Baran, Marie Jobling, Mark Burns, Marlene Hunn, Margot Antonetty, Mivic Hirose, Patty Clement-Cihak, Ramona Davies, Sandy Mori, Melissa McGee standing in for Shireen McSpadden, Tom Ryan, Valorie Villela, Victoria Tedder, Vince Crisostomo

Absent: Amie Haltman-Carson, Eileen Kunz, Kristen Mazur, Samantha Hogg, Traci Dobronravova,

Guests: Rose Johns, Vellore Adithi, Michael Wylie

AGENDA

WELCOME

ROLL CALL, MINUTES & INTRODUCTIONS

- July minutes were approved

ACTION: Voting in New Member

Jacy introduced Jesus Guillen at the July meeting, voting to approve membership. All voted in favor.

UPDATE: Dignity Fund

Melissa McGee provided an update on the Dignity Fund, including:

Sharing the project plan (*attached to email and posted on LTCCC website*), contracting with Resource Development Associates (RDA) to conduct the community needs assessment. RDA has already met with the Service Provider workgroup (SPWG) to gather input on the community engagement and outreach. They will be coordinating 11 community forums in each district and goal is to have them completed by Nov 9th. Will also do an online survey, a phone survey and 30 targeted focus groups. Next OAC meeting will be Monday, 18th 3-5pm at 1650 Mission St. in the Golden Gate Conference room, open to the public.

UPDATE: Workgroup Updates

HIV & Aging: Vince Crisostomo (with support from Bill Hirsh and Jesus Guillen) provided a workgroup updated, beginning with a demographic background, including there are 16,000 people with HIV and about 10k are over 50 and mostly men. In San Francisco, 63% of people with HIV are over the age of 50. They have 3 times the amount of comorbidity than

their peers. (For more about demographic information, contact the HIV & Aging working group). Needs more collaboration between mainstream HIV providers and aging providers; both would benefit from increased information sharing. Need a thorough needs assessment – last one that was done in 2010 was a smaller population but many things have changed since then. Launched Aging Adults 2.0 (ask for his notes and report). Distributed surveys in April and received funding from DAAS and DPH. Have a waiting list. International AIDS Conference may be held in SF in 2020, would be great to highlight the work we're doing.

The potential closure of the Westside AIDS Case Management Program would have left hundreds of people without case management; was saved at the last minute, but there needs to be a long term plan for this. A number of HIV and Aging events this summer: one at UCSF on treating trauma and stress among people with HIV. A Project Openhand event, an OpenHouse event, and next Wednesday will target an event that is specifically targeted towards people with color. Have been trying to figure out how the HIV population and needs can fit into the broader aging realm. This population is especially at risk for homelessness and in need of affordable housing, which is aligned with the housing as healthcare vision. Housing is also key for this population, not only for health outcomes but also has been shown to prevent the spread of HIV, as they're able to maintain their medication and keep their viral loads undetectable. Having an HIV housing specific plan is not a good priority, but more still needs to be done about affordable housing for many populations, including the HIV and Aging population.

Housing: Anne Romero and Jessica Lehman both provided a workgroup overview, including:

Successes over the past year:

- Home modifications fund was renewed, RT has been serving people
- \$2 M in rental subsidies for seniors and people with disabilities

What we're working on now:

- Follow-up on rental subsidies;
- Working with DAHLIA, an online housing portal through the Mayor's Office of Housing: to ensure it includes housing affordable to poor seniors & people with disabilities;
- Following the federally owned 1068 Mission Site approved by federal government for housing for up to 250 formerly homeless households – 150 units for formerly homeless adults and 100 units for formerly homeless seniors –RFQ to go out in next several months to select developer; planned to include No Place Like Home capital dollars for homeless with serious mental illness;
- Learn about and support No Place Like Home (state capital funding for people with serious mental illness) to create more supportive housing units for people with serious mental illness; and
- Learn about and support Health Homes (transitioning from Whole Person Care to the Medi-Cal Health Homes Program). Depending on the rate structure for the Health Homes Program, it could be a source of funding for care

coordination/case management/tenancy support services in supportive housing for individuals with histories of homelessness and long-term physical and behavioral health issues.

Challenge of identifying a clear project for the LTC Housing Workgroup, since the housing challenges and our overall goals are so huge.

Questions: Upcoming housing pipeline is posted quarterly on SF Data and is broken down by income, can also bring to next meeting to share.

Discussion about homelessness, Margot offered to send a presentation that the Dept. of Homelessness and Supportive Housing created.

ACTION: SCAN Legislative Advocacy Day

Mark Burns provide an over including, that the LTCCC is part of the Northern California Collaboration and they're hosting an October Summit for the Collaborative members. Wed, October 25th is State Advocacy Day, where the community constituents (including Mark on behalf of the LTCCC) will be talking about the statewide policy initiatives as well as initiatives that have a local impact. There's room for 2-3 LTCCC members, if you'd like to get involved let Mark (or Valerie) know ASAP.

ACTION: 2018/2019 Budget Goal Process

Dan Kaplan provided an update on the budget goal process that the Finance and Policy workgroup has been working on for the last few months, which involves setting overarching budget goals. Framing: what the process looks like and how can the LTCCC move the City towards a more robust, supportive Long Term Services (LTS) system. Dan shared the various drafts of goals, developed by the Finance & Policy workgroup, the Steering Committee, as well as input by others (*handouts attached to email and posted on LTCCC website*).

Looking for reactions from members on draft goals and using these goals as a process in defining budgets and work moving forward.

DISCUSSION: members comments included

- Appreciated the earlier timeline, as a way to be more proactive about budget advocacy;
- Agree with idea of having the budget proposals are tied to our goals;
- However, starting early to get things out early limits our ability to collaborate with other efforts, as many groups release their budget goals in late winter/early spring – is there an option of being flexible;
- Part of the motivation was to focus the larger system as an umbrella to drive the larger vision, rather than focusing only on individual policy initiatives;
- What is the definition of the larger system, what does it incorporates;
- How is “ensuring that the system is prepared for growth” different from what this group generally advocates for? (such as more of existing);
- Maybe another way to look at policy is to focus one year on food and housing;
- The goal has also been to be create a system that is proactive and not just reactive;
- If you look at some of the existing workgroups, don't cover all draft goals;

- If looking at larger system goals, the budget template doesn't match that, as it's very specific. What would a submitted budget ask actually look like?
- Acknowledgement that this process is begin developed and any associated form may need to catch up;
- Where does any effort regarding support existing services come in? shore up services and keep them running long-term;
- Is the goal to create a single payer services like the San Mateo plan? Discussions to bundle all the existing services, such as DAAS, DPH, etc.
- Driving a larger vision and trying to move large policy goals towards that larger vision;
- There are ways that, through service delivery or program level, that we're crossing silos – for example when working with mental health and aging, you have to work across departments.

Thinking about what direction we should move – in thinking about the 2018/2019 budget process, do we want to continue developing a larger vision, acknowledging that it may be a multi-year process or do we just keep the same process of last year?

- I don't think we should keep the same process, will give the workgroups something to attach their processes to, especially considering that there are a lot of other processes happening such as the Dignity Fund;
- I think we do have defined policy goals already established and can rely on knowing what the Mayor's priorities are and focusing on the areas that he's not prioritizing such as housing and homelessness. This group was trying to be conservative last year, anticipating federal, state, and local funding and those cuts will come down eventually but should focus on funding that is nonnegotiable;
- SF has over 20k on IHSS and would like to see data on their acuity and hours, and what we can extrapolate about needs based on that data. Possibility of looking at that data analysis as a way to prioritize efforts and priorities;
- We have a rich central data system based on that information, that could be used to describe the IHSS population from a variety of angles; like all databases, it relies on the questions you ask;
- The LTCCC structure is opposed to doing something with the vision and the goals – if we were driven by the goals and if the workgroups weren't facilitating the larger vision, that would mean we'd have to really look at the workgroups and make sure that they're aligned with the larger goals;
- How do the focus areas fit into the broader goals?;
- Going back to the idea that we have existing visions, we also have a policy agenda, while it's driven by the workgroups, it's recent. I would recommend that we keep the same budget process – where budget asks fit into the policy agenda and the existing goals;
- Are we trying to make a motion? What's the purpose;
- Purpose is to decide whether we want to consider larger LTCCC LTS system policy goals or continue with last year's budget process;
- The Policy Agenda has been aggregated up from particular interests, as opposed to developing overarching goals for the LTS systems, looking at where we want to build it or focus on, based on needs and priorities. Recognizing that it is a larger goal process that may involve multiple discussions;

- When you compare this year’s policy agenda and the proposed budget goal process, they seem to be opposite;

Hearing that this group is not ready to move towards introducing system wide goals that would allow us to gauge particular proposals, and can spend more time to have a fuller discussion and ultimately use for 2019-2020 budget process.

Ideally we’ll take back people’s feedback from today, bring back to the F&P and finalize the LTCCC’s goals, which will hopefully help shape our work and budget advocacy.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

Senior and Disability Action is having an event – “5 going on 50” fundraiser is Friday, September 22nd. For more information: <http://SDA5goingon55.eventbrite.com>

Community Living Campaign is hosing their annual Community Service Innovation Award event in Thursday, September 28th. For more information: <https://secure.donationpay.org/sfcommunityliving/event.php>

LTC Nominations Committee is meeting on Monday and still looking to fill 2 slots – Health Systems and Hospitals and the “at large” slot, if you have ideas. We’re also looking improving outreach for future candidates.

Support at Home program has been launched– current capacity is 175-250, see flyer for more information (*flyer attached to email and posted on LTCCC website*).

Meeting adjourned.

Next Meeting: Thursday, October 12, 1:00 – 3:00pm at 1 S. Van Ness, 2nd floor Atrium conference room